PR	OPOSED COU	NCIL STUDY ISSUE		
F	or Calendar Ye	ear: 2004		
			Continuing	
			New	X
	P	revious Year (below	line/defer)	
Issue: Evaluate Retail Pa	rking Requireme	ents for the Downtowr	١	
Lead Department: Comm	unity Developm	ent		
General Plan Element or Su	ı <b>b-Element:</b> Laı	nd Use and Transport	tation Element	
1. What are the key elen	nents of the iss	sue? What precipitat	ed it?	
During review of the Downton about adequacy of parking splanning for success. Counce parking standards from other standards. Large Downtown analysis evaluating parking between the council parking standards are council parking standards.	standards for D il directed staff er cities as well n developments	owntown. They were to develop this study I as use a consultan , such as the mall, w	e particularly in issue that wou it to research	nterested in Ild research appropriate
2. How does this relate	to the General	Plan or existing City	Policy?	
Land Use and Transportation	on Element:			
Action Staatement C1.1.1 design guidelines, regulation neighborhood values.	•	•	•	
3. Origin of issue:				
Councilmember:	Mayor Miller			
General Plan:				
Staff:				
BOARD or COMMISS	<u>ON</u>			
Arts		Library		
Bldg. Code of Appeal	s 🗌	Parks & Rec.		
CCAB		Personnel		
Heritage & Preservati	on 🗌	Planning	Γ	

NUMBER CDD-33

	Housing & Human Svcs							
	Board / Commission Ranking/Comment:							
	Board / Commission ranked	of						
4.	Due date for Continuing and Mandatory issues (if kn	own):						
5.	Multiple Year Project? Yes ☐ No X Expected Ye	ear of Completion 2004						
6.	Estimated work hours for completion of the study issue.							
	(a) Estimated work hours from the lead department	100						
	(b) Estimated work hours from consultant(s):							
	(c) Estimated work hours from the City Attorney's Of	fice:15						
	(d) List any other department(s) and number of work hours:							
	Department(s):	20						
	Total Estimated Hours:	135						
7.	Expected participation involved in the study issue process?							
	(a) Does Council need to approve a work plan?	Yes No X						
	(b) Does this issue require review by a Board/Commission?	Yes X No 🗌						
	If so, which Board/Commission? Planning Commission							
	(c) Is a Council Study Session anticipated?	Yes No X						
	(d) What is the public participation process?							

In addition to standard noticing for public hearings, staff would conduct outreach with Downtown associations, the Chamber of Commerce and property owners.

8.	Estimated Fiscal Impact:			
0.	Cost of Study	\$	5,000	
	Capital Budget Costs	\$		
New Annual Operating Costs  New Revenues or Savings		\$ \$		
9.	Staff Recommendation			
	Recommended	d for St	udy	
			•	
	X No Recommer	ndation		
direc proje	ctor should also note the relate	tive imprently	"for" or "against" study. Department portance of this study to other major working on or that are soon to beging es.	
Revie	ewed by			
	Department Director		Date	
Appr	oved by			
	City Manager		Date	